

DMC PERFORMANCE MEASURES 2009-2010

Provided By:



1701K Street NW, Suite 1100, Washington, DC 20006 Tel: 202.637.0377 Fax: 202.379.1600 www.cclp.org

How to Use This Document: This document is a reporting tool to report the DMC Performance Measures of the local jurisdictions that are involved in the *Models for Change* DMC Action Network. Please note the following definitions in using this tool:

- 1. All questions refer to activities during the Reporting Period (usually quarterly)
- 2. You refers to all relevant stakeholder agencies and groups.
- 3. **Baseline Time Period** refers to a comparable time period (i.e., quarter) before you began working on Models for Change/DMC Action Network.)



DMC PERFORMANCE MEASURES GOVERNING BODY

ite N	Name:						
epo	rting Period:						
1.	How many of the following were represent	red on your governing body (0, 1, 2, 3, etc.)?					
	Judges Probation Department						
	Prosecutors						
	Defense Attorneys						
	Police						
	Community Representatives Service Providers						
	Schools						
	Parents						
	Youth						
2.	How many meetings of the governing boo	ly were held during the reporting period?					
3.	How many subcommittees did you have?						
4.	What were the names and purposes of the subcommittees (e.g., Data, Detention Screening						
	Instrument, VOPs)?						
5.	Did the governing body or subcommittees	s prepare any reports on DMC?					
	If so, please attach copies.						



DMC PERFORMANCE MEASURES BURNS INSTITUTE LEVEL ONE DATA ACTIVITIES

Site Name:		
Reporting Period:		
<u> </u>		
Which data elements were you able to collect?	Check all that apply.	
Total youth population		
Race		
Ethnicity		
Gender		
Arrests		
Referrals to detention		
Detention admissions		
Average length of stay in detention (ALOS)		
Pre-adjudication ALOS in detention		
Post-disposition ALOS in detention		
Average daily population in detention (ADP)		
Top 10 offenses for youth arrests		
Time of offense		
"Target offenses" (if not Top 10)		
Referral source		
Zip codes or other geographic indicators		
Detention decision (release, ATD, detain)		
Detention RAI score		
Overrides of RAI score		
Automatic holds		
Reasons for override		
Releases from detention		
ATD program admissions		
ATD program "normal" exits		
Failures to appear		
Re-offense while awaiting court hearing		



DMC PERFORMANCE MEASURES BURNS INSTITUTE LEVEL ONE DATA ACTIVITIES (Continued)

Name:
rting Period:
Did you have the electronic capability to analyze multiple data elements (e.g., arrests x race, detention admissions x offense x race)? Yes No
How many of the 32 data analyses listed as Burns Institute Level One (i.e., 6 for Annual Trends, 3 for Quarterly Arrests) did you prepare?
e attach copies of all analyses of Burns Institute Level One data.
At a minimum, please provide the following:
How many arrests were there?
How many admissions to secure detention were there?
What was the Average Daily Population (ADP) in detention?
What was the Average Length Of Stay (ALOS) in detention?
What was the occupancy rate for secure detention? (average occupancy as a percentage of the rated capacity)
What is the rated capacity for your secure detention facility? (number of beds)



DMC PERFORMANCE MEASURES ADDITIONAL DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS ("GOING DEEPER")

Site Name:

Repo	rting Period:
<u>Additi</u>	onal Data to BI Level One
1.	Did you collect additional data to help you reduce DMC? (Yes or No)
2.	What additional data elements did you collect (e.g., reasons for VOPs, recidivism, secure placements, out-of-county placements)?
3.	How many analyses involving the additional data did you prepare? Please attach copies of all additional data analyses.
Proces	ss Mapping
1.	Did you conduct a case processing study on average length of time between decision points? (Yes or No)
2.	Did you analyze any case processing issues that may contribute to increased ALOS or other case processing delays?
3.	Did you conduct any activities to gather information on perceptions of the system and needs of youth, parents, staff or others (e.g., focus groups, interviews, surveys)?
4.	Did you conduct any geographical analyses (e.g., mapping of most common zip codes of youth arrested)?
5.	Did you make any changes to policy or practice to reduce case processing times or make other reforms as a result of the above activities?
	Briefly explain any "Yes" answers above in this section:

Please attach copies of all analyses or reports.



DMC PERFORMANCE MEASURES DETENTION SCREENING INSTRUMENT

Site Name:			
Reporting Period:			
1.	Did you implement a detention screening instrument? (Yes or No)		
2.	Did you validate your detention screening instrument? (Yes or No)		
3.	Did you utilize a detention screening instrument to screen youth taken into custody? (Yes or No)		
3. 4.			
4.	How many youth were screened with the instrument?		
5.	What was the change in the number of youth admitted to secure detention, compared to the baseline time period? (+/-)		
6.	What was the change in the number of youth admitted to Alternatives to Detention, compared to the baseline time period? (+/-)		
7.	What was the change in the number of youth released to parents or guardians, compared to the baseline time period? (+/-)		
8.	Did you make any changes to policy or practice regarding use of the detention screening instrument? If so, please describe the changes.		



DMC PERFORMANCE MEASURES CULTURAL COMPETENCE AND RESPONSIVENESS

Site 1	Name:
Repo	rting Period:
1.	Did you develop or utilize any cultural competence standards? If "Yes," who developed or prepared the standards?
2.	Did you conduct any cultural competence trainings?
3.	Did you hire any additional bilingual/bicultural staff?
4.	Did you engage any additional culturally competent service providers or community programs/services? ("No" or number)
5.	Did you adopt the two-question format to collect ethnicity data? ("No" or number of forms changed to reflect two-question format)
6.	Did you translate any court or agency forms or other documents into Spanish? ("No" or number of forms or other documents)
7.	Did you translate any court or agency forms or other documents into any other language? ("No" or number and language[s])
8.	Did you increase the number of court-certified interpreters available? ("No" or number)
9.	Did you make any other changes to policy or practice to increase cultural competence and responsiveness? If so, please describe the changes.



DMC PERFORMANCE MEASURES DIVERSION

Site 1	Name:	
Repo	orting Period:	
-	-	
1.	Did you develop or establish any new charging in the juvenile justice system	programs to divert youth away from arrest or formal n? ("No" or number)
2.	2. If so, what is the focus of the new dive	ersion program? (Check all that apply)
	Police	
	School	
	Intake	
	Prosecution	
	Probation	
	Other	
3.	3. If so, how many youth were diverted? provide number of youth diverted by example of the provide number of youth diverted by example of the provide number of youth diverted by example of the provide number of youth diverted.	(If you established more than one diversion program, each program.)
4.	 Did you make any changes in policy of changes. 	or practice to increase diversion? If so, please describe the



DMC PERFORMANCE MEASURES PRE-ADJUDICATION ALTERNATIVES TO SECURE DETENTION

Report	_							
		conduct any and families			to identify gaps ir	n programs o	r services or nee	ds
2.	Please	attach copies	of any repo	rts?				
3.	Did you	develop or e	stablish any	new alternativ	es to secure dete	ention?		
		d you use zip "Yes" or "No")		her geographic	c indicators to det	termine wher	e to locate the ne	ew
	If so, wl each.)?		ernative did	you develop?	(List number of n	ew spaces y	ou developed in	
6.	For eac	Admissions	Hon Elec Inte She Gro Oth that exists in		ing ion on, provide the fo	% who	% other unsuccessful	% normal
	<u> </u>	per month	ADP	ALOS	% who FTA	reoffend	exit	exit
Evening Reportir	_							
Day Reporting C	tr							
Home Detention								
Electronic Monito								
Intensive Superv	ision							
Shelter Care								
Group Home								
	-	-			to increase the u		atives to secure	



DMC PERFORMANCE MEASURES POST-DISPOSITION SERVICES, INCLUDING GRADUATED SANCTIONS

Site N	Name:
Repor	rting Period:
1.	Did you conduct any studies or other activities on any of the following for post-adjudication youth and their families? ("No" or number)
	Incarceration of post-adjudication youth Process barriers for post-adjudication youth Incarceration for violations of probation (VOPs) Incarceration of youth pending long-term placement Program or service needs of post-adjudication youth Need for new community-based programs or services Zip codes or other geographic indicators to help determine location of new services Recidivism rates for post-disposition youth
2.	Did you develop or implement a graduated sanctions system of punishments and rewards for violations of probation (VOPs)?
3.	Did you make any other changes in policy or practice to decrease the amount of incarceration for post-adjudication youth? If so, please describe the changes.
4.	How many fewer youth were incarcerated post-adjudication as a result of the changes?
5.	How many more youth were placed in community-based programs or services as a result of the changes? Please provide type of program/services, number of youth placed in each